

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/682,593	09	9/25/2001	Yu-Chong Tai	6618/706001 / CIT 3311	5197	
20985	7590	12/16/2003		EXAMINER		
FISH & RIC		•	TAMAI, KARL I			
12390 EL CAMINO REAL SAN DIEGO、CA 92130-2081				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•			2834		

DATE MAILED: 12/16/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					MV					
		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)	1					
		09/682,59	3	TAI ET AL.						
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit						
		Tamai IE k		2834						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply										
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status										
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 Oc	ctober 2003	<u>3</u> .							
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This a	action is no	n-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
Dispositi	ion of Claims									
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1,4-16 and 19-28 is/are pending in the	e applicatio	n.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.									
·	Claim(s) <u>1,4,5,12-16 and 19-28</u> is/are allowed.									
	Claim(s) 6-11 is/are rejected.									
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.									
•	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election re	equirement.							
	ion Papers									
•	The specification is objected to by the Examine			•						
10)[10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).										
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.										
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120										
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).										
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of: 1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have bee	n received.							
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 										
13)∏ <i>A</i> s 3	See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic ince a specific reference was included in the first 7 CFR 1.78.	c priority ur st sentence	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119(e of the specification or	i) (to a provisional in an Application						
 a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. 										
Attachmen	nt(s)									
2) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·	4) Interview Summary 5) Notice of Informal P. 6) Other:							

Application/Control Number: 09/682,593 Page 2

Art Unit: 2834

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 6, 7, 10, 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Jaing et al. (Jaing)(US 6511859). Jaing teaches forming a mems with a parylene cantilever, with a sacrificial layer of material on a substrate where there are at least one bumps (structures) between said polymer structure and said substrate which avoids said polymer structure sticking to said substrate after said removing (See figure 1E). Jaing teaches the sacrifice layer 130 prevents sticking of of the cantilever to the substrate where, the sacrifice layer is removed during assembly.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/682,593 Page 3

Art Unit: 2834

4. Claims 8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jaing et al. (Jaing)(US 6511859) and Hetrick et al. (Hetrick)(US 6404028). Jaing teaches every aspect of the invention except the titanium and polysilicon layers. Hetrick teaches layer 32 as a polysilicon electrode, which is an adhesion resistant layer. Hetrick suggests that titanium is also a good metal because AHC adheres well to the metal. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to construct the cantilever of Jaing with the layer 32 being polysilicon or titanium to help prevent sticking/stiction as taught by Hetrick.

Allowed Claims

5. Claims 1,4,5,12-16 and 19-28 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 10/31/2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The Applicant's arguments that Jiang does not teach the anti stiction layer being a different material than the substrate is not persuasive. The substrate of Jiang is silicon and the bumps shown in figures 1E and 1F are made of paraylene. Therefore they are different materials. The Applicant's argument that the titanium and polysilicon are electrode materials not anti-stiction materials is not persuasive because they are the materials of the cantilever and it is different from the substrate.

Application/Control Number: 09/682,593 Page 4

Art Unit: 2834

Conclusion

7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karl I.E. Tamai whose telephone number is (703) 305-7066 until February 1, 2004, or at (571) 272-2036 after February 2, 2004. The examiner can be normally contacted on Monday through Friday from 8:00 am to 4:00 pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Nestor Ramirez, can be reached at (703) 308-1371. The facsimile number for the Group is (703) 872-9306. Any inquiry of a general nature of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist at (703) 308-0956.

Karl I Tamai PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER December 11, 2003

KARL TAMANNED